	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/462,635	SCHMIDT ET AL.
Examiner-indated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeanine A Goldberg	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Af</u>	ter First Action
(1) <u>Jeanine A Goldberg</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Debra Yellin</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>27 June 2003</u>	Time: <u>10:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature – if appropriate)  (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Applican (Applican	vapplicants Representative S	oignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to indicate that the only remaining issue in the applicant appeared to be a 112/2nd issue regarding step (iii) refering to catgorizing as opposed to the newly amended "sorting."

Additionally, the exmainer indicated that a terminal disclaimer over 6,297,017 appeared to be appropriate and requested the submission of a TD. The applicant agreed.